

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,394	01/24/2001	Franz Haas	WEB-19967	1357
24131 7590 10/30/2007 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480		EXAMINER		
			WONG, LESLIE A	
HOLLYWOOL	O, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/768,394	HAAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated for the period for reply will, by stated for the period for reply will, by stated for the period for reply will be stated for the period for the period for the period for the period for the peri	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Ju</u>	ly 26, 2007.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-59</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	ı(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		•	~~			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Sta	Je			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	,	received				
dec the attached detailed office action for a r	ist of the certified copies no	. 10001404.				
Attachment(s)	" —	O (DTO 110)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	· ·				

Art Unit: 1794

In view of the appeal brief filed on July 26, 2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,394

Art Unit: 1794

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 36 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sato et al (JP 10-155410).

Sato et al teach a wafer mixture comprising greater than 63.8 % by weight flour excluding water and 2-10% by weight erythritol (see abstract).

Claims 36 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berkowitz et al (US 5059432).

Berkowitz et al teach a dough mixture comprising greater than 63.8 % by weight flour excluding water and xylitol (see entire document, especially Examples 1, 2, and claim 4).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 4442132), Kondo (JP 1-312960), Sato et al (JP 10-155410), and Berkowitz et al (US 5059432).

Kim discloses a baked product comprising flour and xylitol as a sugar replacer (see entire document, especially the abstract and claim 1). Kim discloses that the

product becomes soft quickly. It is noted that Kim discloses all bakery products (see claim 1), where bakery products would include wafers. Kim also discloses the use of egg, which contains water.

Kondo discloses a cake comprising erythritol as a sugar replacer in the amounts claimed (see abstract). Kondo also discloses xylitol, which is an aliphatic polyol as is claimed. None of the claims specifically claim a flour/starch content that differs from Kondo. Kondo discloses the claimed components and a resulting baked product where deformability at an elevated temperature would be no more than obvious.

Sato et al disclose a wafer mixture comprising greater than 63.8 % by weight flour excluding water and 2-10% by weight erythritol (see abstract).

Berkowitz et al disclose a dough mixture comprising greater than 63.8 % by weight flour excluding water and xylitol (see entire document, especially Examples 1, 2, and claim 4).

The claims differ as to the specific type of baked product and the amounts used.

Once the art has recognized the use of erythritol and xylitol as sugar replacers and dough improvers in baked goods, the amount and manipulation of these components would be well-within the skill of the art. At most the amounts are seen as no more than optimization, see In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use xylitol and erythritol in baked products because the use of xylitol and erythritol as sugar replacers and dough improvers in baked products is

Art Unit: 1794

conventional in the art as taught by Kim (US 4442132), Kondo (JP 1-312960), Sato et al (JP 10-155410), and Berkowitz et al (US 5059432).

Applicant has not established criticality to the amounts claimed. The claimed components are known in the art and are used for their art-recognized function to obtain expected results.

JP 5-103619 is cited as of interest to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Leslie Wong **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1794

LAW October 26, 2007